

## ***CREATION VS (MACRO)EVOLUTION DEBATE***

A debate between Ken Ham (Creation Museum) and Bill Nye (“The Science Guy”) took place last Tuesday. Here are a few thoughts on it. We also hope you’ll send us your thoughts.

Mr. Nye believes it is possible for life to come from non-life but mocks the possibility of Noah and the flood. Why is he open to one possibility but closed to the other? He is doing what Peter predicted scoffers would do (2 Peter 3:5-6).

Mr. Nye said that designs in nature do not require a designer and implied that laws do not require a lawgiver. He believes that all light and energy must have a source, but he is open to the possibility that the source of light and energy came from nothing. Is this the thinking of “a reasonable man”? The Bible is a book of *words of truth and reason* (Acts 26:25) and is consistent with all scientific facts (though not with all proposed scientific theories).

Fulfilled prophecy of past human events establishes the credibility of the Bible, which Mr. Nye tried to discredit. Knowledge of those prophecies leaves no doubt that God is and that He created all things in six, literal days (Genesis 1). Send your comments to [TruthAndReason@suddenlink.net](mailto:TruthAndReason@suddenlink.net).